



Sesame (*Sesamum indicum*) Yield Gap and Economic Analysis through Front Line Demonstrations under Rainfed Conditions in Tikamgarh District of Bundelkhan Zone of MP

Shobharam Thakur ^{a*}, Shiv Ratan ^a, M.K. Nayak ^a,
Yogranjan ^a and D.S. Tomar ^a

^a AICRP on Sesame, JNKVV-College of Agriculture, Tikamgarh, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i125217>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/128246>

Original Research Article

Received: 18/10/2024
Accepted: 20/12/2024
Published: 24/12/2024

ABSTRACT

Til, also called sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.), is one of the oldest and most important oilseed crops of India. It is cultivated on 15.23 lakh hectares of land in semi-arid tropical, sub-tropical, and temperate regions. In 2023, it yielded 8.02 lakh tons with an average yield of 527 kg/ha (Anonymous, 2023). The frontline demonstrations are one of the most common and efficient ways

*Corresponding author: E-mail: 1978srthakur@gmail.com;

Cite as: Thakur, Shobharam, Shiv Ratan, M.K. Nayak, Yogranjan, and D.S. Tomar. 2024. "Sesame (*Sesamum Indicum*) Yield Gap and Economic Analysis through Front Line Demonstrations under Rainfed Conditions in Tikamgarh District of Bundelkhan Zone of MP". *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 36 (12):436-41. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i125217>.

to introduce farmers to the latest improved crop husbandry production technologies. During the kharif seasons of 2022 and 2023, the AICRP on Sesame, Tikamgarh organized 70 protests in the Tikamgarh block in the villages of Kari, Madumar, Bilgany, and Khiriya.

The mean Extension Gap over years of poor adaptation by farmers towards novel technology was shown as 314 kg/ha, which happens to be more than double the yield collected under FP. As such, it is only at this stage that coordinating initiatives should bring in and shift the focus of the farmer regarding better technology is necessary. At an average technical index of 13.70%, it went beyond the levels wanted. The average net return under Improved Technology was 32069, while for Farmers Practice it was about ~12229. Also the average B:C ratio under Improved Technology was 3.06, while for Farmers Practice it was 2.80.

Keywords: Sesame; front line demonstration; Farmers' Practises (FP); Improved Technology (IT); benefit cost ratio.

1. INTRODUCTION

India is endowed with a rich diversity of oilseed crops that are grown in most of its agroclimatic zones. At the same time, India has emerged as the world's largest importer of edible oils, with annual per capita consumption standing at over 19.0 kg, or nearly 36% more than is required for normal health. In this case, there is a considerable gap between the demand and supply of edible oil, and hence, India had to import 13.42 MT in the year 2021 at the cost of currency load of Rs. 80000 crores. (Anonymous, 2021). Farmers have lesser choices in selecting relatively better input-responding crops with the present unstable climate of low rainfall tracts and poor to medium fertility soils. The options available with the farmers are fewer regarding *Kharif* crops. Given the present climate's unpredictability, sesame is a preferable choice. With a total production of 8.02 lakh tons and an average productivity of 527 kg/ha in 2023, it is one of India's oldest significant oilseed crops and is produced throughout 15.23 lakh hectares in semi-arid tropical, sub-tropical, and temperate areas (Anonymous, 2023). Because of their greater linoleic content, which makes them more resistant to oxidation and rancidity, sesame seeds have a long shelf life and high-quality oil up to 62.7%. Because the seed is high in vitamins E, A, B1, B2, niacin, minerals, and the amino acid methionine, as well as lignans such as sesamin and sesamol, it is employed in both the

pharmaceutical and home sectors (Dwarka et al., 2024). India utilizes all three seasons *Kharif*, *Rabi*, and *Summer* or more than one season in some regions to grow sesame. Most cultivation is done in Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, West Bengal, Andhra Pradesh, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Odisha, and Karnataka. The objective of this project was to assess the impact, diffusion, and promotion of improved production technology, as well as train farmers on how modern methods of production might help raise income in Bundelkhand region of Madhya Pradesh's erratic climate. The opinions of farmers regarding high-tech agricultural technology will change due to these programs.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The institute arranged 70 demonstrations during kharif 2022 and 2023 on farmers' fields of the district in rainfed conditions to create awareness among farmers of Bundelkhand about the modern production system for their benefit. Both FP and the whole IT were used in establishing a demonstration in a 0.4-hectare farmer's field for every demonstration. In addition, the HY variety, fertilizer dosage, weedicide, and insecticides formed the complete package/innovated technology (Table 1). Further, data were collected from the farmers' practice plots and from advanced technologies. The following formulae were used to calculate the

Table 1. Components of whole package or Improved Technology of FLDs

S. No.	Technological Interventions for Whole package/ Improved Technology	
1	HY Varieties	TKG-306 & 308
2	Seed rate	2.0 kg
3	Seed treatment	Carbendazim @3g/kg seed
4	Fertilizers	60N: 40P:20K
5	Weedicide	Quizolofop-N- ethyl (Turga Super)
6	Pesticide (Need based)	Imidacloprid and/or Profenophos

extension gap, technology gap, technology index, and cost-benefit ratio:

Extension gap (qha-1) = (Yield of Improved technology plot (qha-1) – Yield of Farmers practice (qha-1))

Technological gap ((qha-1)) = Potential yield (qha-1) –demonstration yield (qha-1)

Technology index (%) = Technology gap x 100 / Potential yield

Additional returns (Rs.) = Demonstration returns (Rs.) – Farmers practice returns (Rs.)

Effective gain (Rs.) = Additional returns (Rs.) – Additional cost (Rs.)

Incremental B:C ratio = Additional returns (Rs.) / Additional cost (Rs.)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Farmers Practice proved to be inadequate based on the study's result; this is because significantly high mean seed production value, 698 kg/ha, was recorded by much higher under Improved Technology, which is more than two-fold that obtained under Farmer Practice. Therefore, improvement technology needs focused efforts by improving farmers' perspectives in changing their perceptions toward technology for an improved outcome (Table 2). But the highest productivity was recorded in 2022 under both IT and its corresponding FP, which could be because of edaphic conditions and rainfall pattern. The result of the present study is in consonance with that of Meena and Dudi (2018) and Kushwaha et al. (2018).

3.1 Extension Gap

The mean yield under farmers' practices (FP) is nearly equal to the mean Extension Gap (EG) over years, which was found to be 296 kg/ha. Farmers' inadequate adoption of improved technology (IT) necessitated the use of comprehensive strategies to quickly close this gap. The results above are consistent with those of Shiv Ratan et al. (2021). One of the best strategies to alter farmers' attitudes toward

improved technology (IT) is through these demonstrations. Furthermore, frequent field trips and trainings may also alter farmers' perspectives. (Dayananad et al., 2012; Katare et al., 2011; Mitra and Samajdar, 2010; Thakur et al., 2022).

3.2 Technology Gap (TG)

During the investigation period, an average TG of 102 kg/ha was found. The probable reason for this disparity may be attributed to the rain-fed conditions, pattern of precipitation, and marginal and sub-marginal soils (Meena and Singh 2017 and Singh SB, 2017).

3.3 Technological Index (TI)

The Technology Index indicates the viability of improved technology in the field. A low value indicates more desirability. Average TI stands at 13.70%, and it goes without saying that farmers will have to make a considerable effort to easily and quickly adapt improved technology into their fields. Arvind Kumar (2017), Balai et al. (2012), Iqbal et al. (2017), Rao et al. (2011), Shiv Ratan et al. (2020), and Shiv Ratan et al. (2021) Thakur et al. (2022) are all in accordance with the results of the present study.

The cost of cultivation for IT and FP was determined for economic analysis based on current input and output prices (Table 3). The cultivation cost was Rs. 14416 under FP and Rs. 23644 under IT. The average incremental cost under IT in the Tikamgarh district and Bundelkhand, which was at such low levels of a doption, remained at Rs. 9228. It is time to intensify efforts and alter the perception of farmers with regard to better technology and scientific interventions through FLDs, trainings, and in-person visits. The mean net return for the studied years was Rs. 47113 under IT and Rs. 24272 under FP, where there lies a huge inconsistency of Rs. 22841 in added net return. It was found that IT would have increased the net income of farmers by 48.48%, which motivated

Table 2. Seed yield and other parameters for gap analysis of FLD on sesame

S. No	Year	Number of demonstrations	Area (ha)	Mean Yield (Kg/ha)		Extension gap (kg/ha)		Technology gap (kg/ha)		Technology index (%)
				IT	FP	IT	FP	IT	FP	
1	2022	30	12.ha	698	402	296	102			12.75
2	2023	40	16.ha	683	352	331	117			14.625
Mean		70	28 ha	691	377	314	110			13.7

Where EG stands for extension gap, TG for technological gap, TI for technology index, and IT for improved technology

Table 3. Economic analysis of various economic parameters under IT as well as FP

Year	Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)		Mean gross returns (Rs/ha)		Net returns (Rs/ha)		B:C ratio		Additional Cost under IT (Rs. /ha)	Additional gross return (Rs./ ha)	Additional net return (Rs)
	IT	FP	IT	FP	IT	FP	IT	FP			
2022	23644	14416	73238	42175	49594	27759	3.23	3.16	9228	31063	21835
2023	23644	14416	68275	35200	44631	20784	2.89	2.44	9228	33075	23847
Total	23644	14416	70756.5	38688	47113	24272	3.06	2.8	9228	32069	22841

Where IT=Improved technology; FP=Farmers practice; AC: Additional Cost under IT, AG: Additional gross return, AN: Additional net return

them to adopt it. Furthermore, due to the significant quantity of output that was utilized under IT, the B: C ratios were found to be 3.06 under IT and 2.8 under FP (Thakur et al., 2022, Sharma et al., 2017, Meena and Singh, 2017, Athya and Panday, 2020a&b, Shiv Ratan et al., 2020 and Shiv Ratan et al., 2021).

4. CONCLUSION

The study assesses the impact, diffusion, and promotion of improved production technology, as well as train farmers on how modern methods of production might help raise income in Bundelkhand region of Madhya Pradesh's erratic climate. The average net return under Improved Technology was 32069, while for Farmers Practice it was about ~12229. Also, the average B:C ratio under Improved Technology was 3.06, while for Farmers Practice it was 2.80.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. (2021). *Department of Food and Public Distribution, Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food and Public Distribution, GOI.*
- Anonymous. (2023). *Annual Report, 2023 of ICAR- AICRP on Sesame & Niger JNKVV campus Jabalpur (M.P.), 1.*
- Athya, D. P., & Panday, A. K. (2020a). Biology of leaf webber and capsule borer, *Antigastra catalaunalis* (Dup.) in sesame. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 8(2), 55-61.
- Athya, D. P., & Panday, A. K. (2020b). Economic injury level of sesame leaf webber and capsule borer *Antigastra catalaunalis* (Duponchel). *Indian Journal of Entomology*, 82(4), 735-738.
- Balai, C. M., Meena, R. P., Meena, B. L., & Bairwa, R. K. (2012). Impact of front line demonstration on rapeseed-mustard yield improvement. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*, 12, 113-116.
- Commodity Profile of Edible Oils for Sept. (2019).
- Dayanand, Verma, R. K., & Mehta, S. M. (2012). Boosting mustard production technology through front line demonstrations. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*, 12, 121-123.
- Dwarka, Thakur, S., Katara, V. K., Chadar, N., & Parmar, S. (2024). Introduction to insect pests of sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) and their management: A review. *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 27(12), 378-384.
- Iqbal, A. M., Shikari, A. B., Naseer, S., Nagoor, S., Aziz, M., Dar, Z., Ali, J., Ganai, M. A., & Parry, G. A. (2017). Participatory varietal selection in rapeseed-mustard. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, 8, 201-206.
- Katara, S., Pandey, S. K., & Mustafa, M. (2011). Yield gap analysis of rapeseed-mustard through frontline demonstrations. *Agriculture Updates*, 6(2), 5-7.
- Kumar, A., Yadav, D. K., & Rana, D. S. (2012). Rapeseed-mustard in India: Present scenario, future projection and R & D issues. *Indian Farming*, 62(8), 14-21.
- Kushwaha, R. S., Kumar, R., Kaur, A., Bhadouria, V. S., & Gupta, N. (2018). Impact of front line demonstration (FLD) on the yield of rapeseed-mustard in Gwalior district of MP. *Technofame*, 7(1), 61-64.
- Meena, M. L., & Dudi, A. (2018). Boosting the mustard production technology through front line demonstration in Pali district of Rajasthan. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica*, 9(2), 176-181.
- Meena, M. L., & Singh, D. (2017). Technological and extension yield gaps in green gram in Pali district of Rajasthan. *Legume Research*, 40, 187-191.
- Mitra, B., & Samjadar, T. (2010). Yield gap analysis of rapeseed and mustard through frontline demonstration. *Agricultural Extension Review*, 22, 16-17.
- Rao, D. M., Chandrashekar, P., & Neeraiah, R. (2011). Productivity enhancement in groundnut. *Indian Farming*, 60, 39-40.
- Ratan, S., Thakur, S. R., Nayak, M. K., & Singh, V. K. (2021). Dissemination and popularization of improved technology through front line demonstrations among sesame farmers of Bundelkhand region. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 10(1), 2097-2101.

- Ratan, S., Tiwari, U. K., Nayak, M. K., & Thakur, S. R. (2020). Assessment of relative impact of improved technology and scientific interventions on the income of sesame farmers in tribal area of Bundelkhand region. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 9(7), 809-813.
- Sharma, R., Bhati, D. S., & Sharma, S. K. (2017). Impact of front line demonstration on rapeseed-mustard growers. *Journal of Progressive Agriculture*, 8(1), 115-118.
- Singh, S. B. (2017). Yield gap analysis of rapeseed mustard through front line demonstration under rainfed conditions in Uttarakhand. *Technofame*, 6(1), 89-92.
- Thakur, S., Ratan, S., & Nayak, M. K. (2022). Dissemination and impact assessment of improved technology and scientific interventions through front line demonstrations among sesame farmers of Tikamgarh, Bundelkhand Region in Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Oilseeds Research*, 39(3 & 4), 253-255.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/128246>